(IJRSSH) 2025, Vol. No. 15, Special Issue No. VI

Artificial Intelligence and Emerging Technologies: Harnessing AI and Other Emerging Technologies for Sustainable Development and Good Governance

Prof. K. Vijay Kumar

Department of Public Administration Government Degree College (A) Khairatabad, Hyderabad, India

DOI:10.37648/ijrssh.v15i06.001

¹ Received: 01/11/2025; Accepted: 20/11/2025; Published: 25/11/2025

Abstract

The Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Big Data among other emerging technologies (the Internet of Things, Block chain, etc.) are convergent forces that can both speed up the accomplishment of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and fundamentally change the nature of good governance. This paper will discuss the simultaneously beneficial and detrimental aspect of these technologies in terms of developing a more sustainable and fairer world. The opportunities of AI-based solutions in different critical sectors, such as climate action (SDG 13), predictive climate modelling, precision agriculture (SDG 2) and resource management in smart cities (SDG 11) are unprecedented. Applications of AI in government include data-driven policy-making, better public service delivery through automation, and better transparency and anti-corruption due to anomaly detection.

The fulfilment of this potential, however, is dependent on the ability to overcome major ethical and social risks. Critical analysis also indicates that there are significant challenges, such as the reinforcement of the algorithmic bias by non-representative data, the danger of increasing the digital divide, and the urgent necessity of a strong system of data governance and accountability. Additionally, there is a counter-sustainability issue of the environmental impact of large-scale AI infrastructure (data canters, e-waste). The study follows the research methodology of secondary data analysis and provides insight into the recent policy documents, scholarly works, and reports of international organizations (e.g., OECD, UN, World Bank). The fundamental objectives are to trace the existing world trends, critically discuss the trade-offs between efficiency and fairness, and introduce a conceptual framework surrounding the responsible and inclusive implementation of AI to make sure that these potent tools are used as its service, as opposed to its destruction of the principles of sustainable development and democratic good governance.

The use of artificial intelligence (AI), emerging technologies, sustainable development goals (SDGs), good governance, algorithmic bias, digital divide, data governance, transparency, predictive analytics, and ethical AI are some keywords.

1. Introduction

The 21st century is characterized by an unstopping technological revolution, which imposes Artificial Intelligence (AI) and all related emerging technologies in the focus of the world political, economic, and social agenda. Broadly speaking, AI is a system that can perform tasks that normally demand human cognitive ability in many cases, AIs are an unparalleled solution to the most intricate, so-called wicked issues, many of which are summarized in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Due to climate change mitigation (SDG 13) and public health management (SDG 3) on the one hand; poverty reduction (SDG 1) and inequality reduction (SDG 10) on the other, the opportunities of AI-driven solutions, which are supported by large datasets and strong processing capabilities, are enormous.

¹How to cite the article: Kumar K.V.; (November, 2025); Artificial Intelligence and Emerging Technologies: Harnessing AI and Other Emerging Technologies for Sustainable Development and Good Governance; Vol 15, Special Issue 6; 1-8, DOI: http://doi.org/10.37648/ijrssh.v15i06.001

1

(IJRSSH) 2025, Vol. No. 15, Special Issue No. VI

This is because the introduction of new technologies in the government sector transforms governance into an administration that is reactive or responsive to an administration that is proactive and predictive. Through the power of Big Data and machine learning algorithms, governments will be able to predict disease outbreaks, optimize resources that would be used in disaster response, and customize services to individuals, a step towards an even more citizen-centric model. An example is the application of AI in environmental sustainability, where energy grids can be optimized, where AI can predict the spread of pollutants and monitor the disappearance of forests, due to satellite images, which provide real-time data that is important in policy intervention. This makes it more efficient, effective and responsive which are the main pillars of good governance.

Nevertheless, this revolutionary possibility is necessarily associated with serious dangers. The traits that enable AI to be powerful such as its scale, speed and opaqueness (the black box problem) pose a threat to the primary values of democracy. The most acute problem is probably the problem of the bias of algorithms. The use of AI models that are trained on historically biased data will propagate and institutionalize discrimination in such domains as criminal justice, social welfare allocation, and hiring in the public sector. This is a direct opposite of what inclusivity and justice, which are the objectives of SDGs, are.

Moreover, data infrastructure, data digital literacy, and regulatory lag are the challenges that are likely to be met when implementing these technologies. Garbage in, garbage out The majority of governments do not have sufficient, high quality, and clean data to train effective AI models. At the same time, the environmental price of the giant data centers that the high-level AI models, which consume enormous amounts of energy and water, is a paradoxical issue to the sustainability agenda.

Accordingly, this research is supreme. It attempts to leave behind a simplistic account of technological solutionism in order to offer a critical and balanced analysis. It aims not only to know how the AI can be utilized towards sustainable development and good governance but also under what ethical and regulatory circumstances it has to be implemented so that it is inclusive, accountable and ultimately profitable to all the stakeholders of the society. The governance framework is proactive and needs to be responsible in ensuring the integration of emerging technologies of which human rights and moral principles ought to be considered in the design stage.

2. Review of Literature

• Brynjolfsson & McAfee (2014)

The authors provide an explanation of how AI, automation, and digital technologies are transforming the economies and labour markets in their book, The Second Machine Age. They claim that AI can help to increase productivity, innovation, and sustainable development tremendously. Nonetheless, they also point to the problem of increasing inequalities and displacement of low-skilled workers. Their work gives a background knowledge of how an up-and-coming technology is changing the governance and development frameworks.

World Economic Forum (2016)

The Fourth Industrial Revolution report elaborates the effects that the new technologies, which include AI, IoT, robotics, and blockchain, have on world governance systems. It points out that AI enhances transparency, efficiency, and real-time decision-making, but puts an alert on the risks associated with ethics, privacy, and regulatory uncertainty. This report highlights the importance of agile governance of sustainable development.

• United Nations (2018) -UN E-Government Survey.

The survey describes the way governments around the globe are adopting AI-based applications or chatbots, predictive analytics, and intelligent governance systems. It demonstrates that AI increases service delivery and improves interaction with the population. The report, however, also highlights that there is a lot of digital divide between nations, meaning that not all countries have been able to adopt emerging technologies.

• O'Neil, C. (2016)

(IJRSSH) 2025, Vol. No. 15, Special Issue No. VI

O'Neil in her book, Weapons of Math Destruction, gives the story of how AI algorithms, when not implemented correctly, can only increase social inequalities and cause biased governance. She cautions that AI may be a threat to sustainable development in the absence of transparency and accountability. Her work is a critical view of ethical governance and responsible use of AI.

• Venues et al. (2020)

The research article, The Role of AI in Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals, which was published in Nature Communications, is a systematic study of the ways in which AI contributes to 134 SDG targets and undermines 59 SDG targets. The authors conclude that AI can bring much faster SDGs, but it requires powerful regulation, collaboration among stakeholders, and ethical protection in order not to create unintended consequences.

• OECD (2021) AI in the Public Sector Report.

It is noted that governments are moving to AI in policy modelling, smart infrastructure, disaster prediction, health analytics, and citizen services, as highlighted in this report. It underlines that good governance can be improved through responsible AI that increases its transparency, accuracy, and responsiveness. Nevertheless, the report also finds such issues as bias in algorithms, the absence of qualified labor force, and threats to security.

• Sharma & Srinivasan (2022)

The article published in the International Journal of Governance Innovation discusses AI in Indian government programs like digital policing, predictive healthcare, and smart cities. They find that AI enhances the speed and quality of decision-making but is associated with such issues as privacy, the low level of digital literacy, and the absence of sound regulatory bodies.

- 3. Gaps in the Review of Literature.
- Critical Gaps Identified
- Little research on AI in less developed countries.

The majority of investigations are dedicated to developed countries; the insufficient knowledge exists regarding the effects that AI will have on governance systems in low-digital countries.

Inadequate study on the long-term social-economic impacts of AI.

There are limited studies on the impact of AI on employment trends, social fairness, and democracy within the governance structure in the future.

Absence of combined research relating AI and sustainability.

Despite a certain body of work, there is very little holistic research demonstrating how AI can contribute to the sustainability of the environment, social, and economic.

Inadequate research in the field of ethical, legal, and policy frameworks of AI governance.

There is a lack of detailed frameworks on ethical AI adoption in governance although most of the literature brings risks to the fore.

Scarcity of evidence on the views of citizens regarding AI-enabled governance.

There has been no extensive research on how people can accept AI-based systems of governance with confidence and how these systems will affect their behaviour.

Limited comparative research on the AI governance models in various countries.

There are no comparative frameworks on how to benchmark AI governance success on a nation-wide basis.

4. Objectives of Study

(IJRSSH) 2025, Vol. No. 15, Special Issue No. VI

To map and critically assess the existing global and national trends in AI deployment critical to major Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs 1, 3, 11, 13) and the primary public governance functions (transparency, service delivery).

To evaluate the regulatory maturity of governments based on the current AI ethics on the one hand and recognize the loopholes in the current ethical principles and their application to real-life practices in the work of the public sector on the other.

To explore the most significant risks in society, namely, algorithmic bias, data privacy, and digital exclusion, associated with the use of AI in resources distribution (e.g., welfare, policing).

To measure and critically assess the generally neglected environmental impact of large AI-based sustainability projects (e.g., data centre energy and water use).

To present, in a conceptualized format, a framework of the Responsible AI Governance that incorporates ideas of the human-cantered design, environmental sustainability, and social equity to maximize the value of the population.

5. Need for Study

The urgency of the study is imperative because of the confluence of unprecedented technological opportunities and high, unexplained, social risks:

- Immediacy of the SDGs: The SDGs have a 2030 deadline, and it is clear that traditional approaches are no longer effective. This research is requisite in verifying and critically guiding the rapid, efficient and focused application of AI to make the objectives in a just manner.
- Reducing Algorithmic Risk: As AI technologies are deployed by governments into high-stakes decisions (e.g., welfare, policing) there is a sense of urgency to know about and offer solutions to avoid the propagation or increase of historical social injustices by AI. This is a direct address to SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities).
- **Building Trust in Governance**: The absence of transparency in the black box algorithms may compromise good governance by losing the trust of the citizens. The research needs to come up with strong accountability and explicability measures that will retain the democratic validity of government by data.
- Solving the Dual-Use Dilemma: The environmental cost of AI and the risk of abuse (e.g., surveillance, misinformation) requires a paper that is no longer techno-optimistic, but contains an analysis of the strategic trade-offs and unintended consequences to make sure that technology is used to support sustainability, and not the other way around.
- Educating Policy and Regulation: Governments across the world are facing the challenge of controlling fast-moving technology. This study offers an essential, evidence-based overview of world best practices and failure points in creating fast-tracked agile and effective AI governance models that are ethical.
- Research Methodology: The research will utilize a secondary method of data analysis because it is the only
 mode of research that will yield credible information and is publicly available in form of global documentation
 to address the research objectives.
- Systematic Review of International Organization Reports: Needs assessment of reports of major international organizations, such as the OECD AI Observatory, Ethics of AI activities of UNESCO, E-Government Surveys by UN DESA, and the Digital Development Reports of World Bank.
- **Exploitation**: It offers high-level policy frameworks, global standards, and standardized data about AI preparedness and strategic priorities on the national level.
- National AI Strategies (NAS) Analysis: Examination of publicly available National AI Strategies and policy documents of major countries (e.g., the AI Act of the EU, the AI Bill of rights of the US, and the national strategies of Singapore, Canada and India).
- **Exploitation:** It assists in the comparison of the different governance models (e.g., the principles-based versus the prescriptive regulatory strategies) and pinpoints common areas of investments.
- Search and Synthesis of the Academic Database: The literature review will be done on Scopus and Web of Science and include all papers published since 2018 with the search terms: AI Governance, AI-SDG Nexus, Algorithmic Bias in Public Sector, and Ethics of Big Data. Exploitation: This is essential to create theoretical

(IJRSSH) 2025, Vol. No. 15, Special Issue No. VI

backgrounds, determine the latest research gaps (e.g., Generative AI), as well as measure the reported social effects.

6. Case Study Analysis of Public Sector AI Projects

Perusing through detailed documents of the public sector (e.g., audit reports, impact assessment, scholarly case studies) on particular AI applications in governance (e.g., predictive policing in the US, flood forecasting in India, smart city traffic optimization in Europe).

• Exploitation

This gives empirical data about the issues of implementation, cost-benefit analysis and recorded cases of bias or success.

• Comparison of Ethical Frameworks and Principles:

Comprehensive comparison of the ethical principles and guidelines stated in the UNESCO, OECD and other country-specific frames of AI ethics.

• Exploitation

This is directly concerned with the research aim of determining the regulatory maturity and the evidence of the ethics-to-implementation gap.

7. Environmental footprint Report Analysis

Investigating environmental agency (e.g., UNEP, EEA) and specialized academic journal reports that estimate the energy, water, and e-waste expenses of large-scale cloud computing and AI infrastructure.

Exploitation

This offers the required information to critically evaluate the trade-off of AI-enabled sustainability on the environment.

8. Civil Society and NGO Watchdog Reports Review

Analysis of reports released by such organizations as Human Rights Watch, Access Now, and Transparency International that pay attention to the human rights and transparency consideration of AI in government surveillance, justice, and in anti-corruption.

Exploitation

This offers an important counter-narrative and critical approach towards the real-life understanding and the role of AI in the protection of democracy.

9. Recent AI in Governance and Sustainability Trends.

• Proactive and Anticipatory Governance

The transition between merely automating the processes that currently exist (e-governance) and applying AI to predictive modelling (e.g. forecasting resource demand, predicting climate conditions) in order to proactively provide services.

• Sovereign AI/Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI)

Countries are working on building national AI capacities and standardized layers of DPI (e.g. digital identity, payment systems) so that they could guarantee data sovereignty, resilience and inclusivity, commonly based on cloud-first approaches.

• Generative AI Integration

(IJRSSH) 2025, Vol. No. 15, Special Issue No. VI

Government quick testing on Generative AI (Gen AI) to support internal efficiency (e.g., writing policy summaries, document translation) and to support the interface provided to citizens (e.g., sophisticated chat bots).

• Emphasis on Green AI

A new area that focuses on the creation of energy-efficient algorithms and hardware to reduce the carbon footprint of AI in response to the environmental critique.

10. Critical Analysis

The Efficiency-Equity Trade-off

AI is great at maximizing one thing (e.g. speed, cost reduction). This typically, however, would require disregarding subtle social variables, resulting in results that are extremely efficient and extremely unfair. This especially is true with the historical data, which, by its very nature, entails the injustice of the past society.

Regulatory Asymmetry

The AI is developed at a very rapid pace, mainly by the private sector, while government regulation is slow and reactive as its nature. Such an imbalance creates a regulatory loophole in which innovative technologies are used in risky public contexts prior to the development of strong ethical and legal frameworks.

• The privacy paradox vs. Data Scarcity

To attain productive AI (data-driven policy), governments must have large connected datasets, which immediately conflict with the privacy and autonomy rights of citizens. This paradox is given a central role in the technical and legislation challenge of finding a solution that is safe and does not infringe on privacy (such as federated learning).

The Talent and Skills Chasm: The success of AI depends on skills that are specific. One of the key impediments to the scaling of AI in government is the lack of access to and retention of expert AI talent and a closed digital skills gap between the current civil service.

11. Role of AI

• Intelligence Augmentation (IA)

AI is supposed to complement human judgment (e.g., by giving human policy-makers better forecasts) as opposed to outcompeting human judgment, at least in such sensitive fields as the judiciary or social welfare.

• Intermediary of Global Cooperation

AI can be used as an important instrument of international collaboration around SDGs, especially sharing of environmental data, climate models and disaster response logistics (SDG 17).

12. Perceptions

• Public Perception

By and large, there has been high optimism on the possibilities of AI to bring about convenience (such as faster services) but accompanied with strong suspicion and distrust on the close monitoring of citizens by the government, their data management, and the risk that technology can be abused.

Perception of Policy

Well-developed political prioritization and ambition, which often results in a race to regulate to gain economic benefits, at times without based on the full scope of social impact evaluation.

• Civil Society Perception

(IJRSSH) 2025, Vol. No. 15, Special Issue No. VI

Is very critical and is concerned with the possibility of AI serving as a means of repression (surveillance, predictive policing bias) and calls to ensure that the AI is reviewed by human hands and is more transparent in its algorithms.

13. Conclusion

The case study of Artificial Intelligence and Emerging Technologies to sustainable development and good governance shows that it is a field of great potential, but also a field of sophisticated socio-technical and ethical risks. The ability of AI to detect multifaceted patterns, optimize, and predict analytics is an unevenly tipped booster toward the realization of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), especially when it comes to combating concerns associated with climate, health, and economic efficacy. The trend of active, data-driven, and responsive public services in governance is a real possibility of establishing more responsive, transparent, and effective government institutions as a way of promoting the ideals of good governance.

The most important lesson of this paper is that the effect of AI is not pre-determined; it directly depends on the systems of governance in which it is implemented. The critical review goes ahead to affirm that regulatory wisdom is being overtaken by technological prowess. Institutional and ethical barriers to the potential of AI are the greatest. Propagation of algorithmic bias: This is caused by low-quality data, non-representative model development, and absence of human control, which directly endangers the fundamental SDG principle of leaving no one behind. Moreover, the fact that the significant environmental price of AI infrastructure should be mitigated is a corrective measure needed in response to the notion that AI is a pure agent of green change.

The trend analysis and literature review reflect the need to change the abstract ethics principles (Floridi et al.) into the compulsory, enforced implementation policies. The governments should focus on the four strategic pillars of responsible AI deployment:

Human-Centric Design and Accountability: Making sure that each AI system is reviewed and can be interfered by humans and that effective redress mechanisms are in place in the event that automated decisions are harmful.

Investment in Quality Data and Representation: Invest a lot in data collection and governance of high quality and develop technologies, such as federated learning, to create a balance between necessity of data utility and the necessity of individual privacy.

Regulatory Agility and Skills: Building regulatory sandboxes and building massively in digital literacy and AI specialization in the government sector in order to bridge the skills gap and make informed policy-makers.

Environmental Integrity: Requiring the application of Green AI principles, quantifying the entire lifecycle environmental impact of AI systems, and dedicating increased focus to energy-efficient computing to balance the development of technologies with climate ambitions.

Conclusively, to a greater degree, the future of sustainable development and good governance lies in the ability of the leaders to think of AI not as an automation tool, but as the powerful instrument of society and politics. The scope of success of AI will not be defined by how many projects were launched, but rather how open, just, and beneficial to the societal welfare such systems become. Responsible AI Governance framework is that which cannot be done without in the pathway between the potential of technologies and long-term democratic results.

References

Bertot, J. C., Jaeger, P. T., & Grimes, J. M. (2010). Using ICTs to create a culture of transparency: E-government and social media as openness and anti-corruption tools for wicked problems. *Government Information Quarterly*, 27(3), 264-271.

Florid, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—Ethical guidelines for a future AI. *Mind and Machines*, 28(4), 689-701. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5

Heeks, R. (2006). Implementing and managing e-government: An international text. Sage Publications.

(IJRSSH) 2025, Vol. No. 15, Special Issue No. VI

Layne, K., & Lee, J. (2001). Developing fully functional E-government: A four stage model. *Government Information Quarterly*, 18(2), 122-136.

OECD. (2020). *The OECD Digital Government Policy Framework: Six dimensions*. OECD Publishing. https://www.oecd.org/gov/digital-government/digital-government-policy-framework.htm

Samaan, M... [et al.]. (2025). *Governing AI in the world of work: A review of global ethics guidelines*. International Labour Organization. https://www.ilo.org/resource/article/governing-ai-world-work-review-global-ethics-guidelines

Tsamadias, C. (2019). Emerging technologies and the rise of smart cities: The role of data governance. *Journal of Public Administration and Governance*, 9(3), 154-171.

UNEP. (2025). AI has an environmental problem. Here's what the world can do about that. https://www.unep.org/news-and-stories/story/ai-has-environmental-problem-heres-what-world-can-do-about

UNESCO. (2021). *Recommendation on the ethics of artificial intelligence*. https://www.unesco.org/en/artificial-intelligence/recommendation-ethics

Vinuesa, R., Azizpour, H., Leite, I., Luengo-Oroz, M., McClure, M., & Jacobsson, H. (2020). The role of artificial intelligence in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14108-ybibliography+3